The Data Science Revolution in Biomechanics:

Traditional statistical tests vs modern machine learning methods in the study of lizard locomotion

Kathleen L. Foster, Ball State University Alessandro M. Selvitella, Purdue University Fort Wayne



The environment is complex





Animals do all sorts of wild and wacky things















The challenges posed by arboreality



The challenges posed by arboreality







Morphological adaptation meets functional demand



primates



rodents



lizards



marsupials



kinkajous

Morphological adaptation meets functional demand













Anolis ecomorphs Losos (2009) Lizards in an evolutionary tree

Morphological adaptation meets functional demand













How does the environment impact locomotor behavior of *Anolis* lizards?

How does the environment impact locomotor behavior of *Anolis* lizards?

- How do the movements of the forelimbs and hind limbs change on different arboreal surfaces?
- How does the coordination of forelimb and hind limb joints change on different arboreal surfaces?



Experimental setup



Foster and Higham (2012) J. Exp. Biol.

00





Elbow and knee angle



Wrist and ankle angle



Fore- and hind toe angle



Humerus and femur depression



Humerus and femur protraction and retraction



Humerus and femur long-axis rotation

Joint angle - time series & single time points



Foster and Higham (2012) J. Exp. Biol.

How should we analyze this dataset?

	Univariate	Multivariate	Time series
Visualization			
Hypothesis test			
Classification			

How should we analyze this dataset?

Univariate methods

 ANOVA to test for differences between substrates in each variable (time point) - visualized using box-type plots

Multivariate methods

- MANOVA to test for differences between substrates when considering all variables together
- Canonical Discriminant Analysis (CDA) for visualization of maximal group separation and to determine how all variables together contribute to group separation
- Linear Discriminant Analysis (LDA) to classify observations (strides) according to group (environment) using the combined variables

+ Time series analyses

 Dynamic Time Warping to visualize distances between the time series of all joint angles



Perch diameter: P < 0.0001Incline: P < 0.0001Interaction: P = N.S.











BUT

There are 72 individual variables - which are important?

 Need to do some sort of correction for multiple tests since some results must be significant by random chance (e.g. Bonferroni, Benjamini-Hochberg)



	% variables significantly affected								
	Perch diameter	Incline							
Forelimb	42	64							
Hind limb	69	69							

- Significant changes to at least one variable at nearly every joint in response to changes in incline or perch diameter (88 significant results in total)
- Generally, decreased height above surface, greater limb flexion, slower running speed, and greater duty factor on steeper/ narrow surfaces → crouching for stability

Multivariate Analysis

- MANOVA
 - to test for differences between substrates when considering all variables together
- Canonical Discriminant Analysis (Classical perspective)
 - to visualize maximal group separation and to determine how all variables together contribute to group separation
- Linear Discriminant Analysis (Modern perspective)
 - to classify strides according to environment based on the combined variables

MANOVA

- Multivariate ANOVA to test the hypothesis that the vectors of means for two or more groups are different
- Tested by calculating the ratio of the multivariate between-group sum of squares to within-group sum of squares → F-distribution

- Goal: visualization of group separation & determine
 how the groups are separated
- Eigenanalysis based on variance/covariance matrices between and within user-defined groups
- Defines "canonical axes" as linear combinations of variables that maximize the between-group variation relative to within-group variation
- Correlation of variables against CDA axes can be used to interpret the source of the variation contributing to group separation





Canonical Discriminant Analysis Linear Discriminant Analysis

- CDA maximized the ratio of the between to within-group variation
- This gives a eigenvector corresponding to the direction of maximal separation between groups and the corresponding separation hyperplane
- This hyperplane can be used as a decision boundary for classification purposes
- Under assumptions of normality and equal variance between groups, this hyperplane corresponds to the classification boundary of LDA

Linear Discriminant Analysis

- Goal: Classify the observations (strides) into the correct groups (environments)
- Classification model assessed via Leave-One-Out Cross-validation
- Classification accuracy + Confusion Matrix to assess the quality of the classification model both overall and by group

Linear Discriminant Analysis



% observations correctly classified = 93.4%



% observations correctly classified = 84.3%



Linear Discriminant Analysis



% observations correctly classified

	Forelimb	Hind limb
Angles only	82.9%	84.3%
Angular velocities only	68.4%	58.4%
All variables	93.4%	84.3%

broad
narrow
90°
45°

 \bigcap

Linear Discriminant Analysis Multivariate Analyses

Forelimb



+ Help identify variables that contribute to definitions of groups & only makes m

& only makes mistakes between inclines



	Pre		ted	env		hme	ent
)							
hent		7	0		0		0
		0	81	0		0	0
		2	0	12	0		0
e E		0		0	12	0	
Tru		0	0		0	12	0
		0	0	0		0	14

broadnarrow



Linear Discriminant Analysis Multivariate Analyses

Forelimb



+ Help identify variables that contribute to definitions of groups are 72 included an other set & only makes mistak



00

Linear Discriminant Analysis Multivariate Analyses

Forelimb



+ Help identify variables that contribute to definitions of * groups are 72 incide of the second s

	BUT	Pre	edict	ted	env		nme				
	nent		7	0		0		0			
 Difficult to interpret 			0	81	0		0	0			
	virc		2	0	12	0		0			
$\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}$			0		0	12	0			broad	
• Does not take ime in		un	Y	0		0	12	0			
			0	0	0		0	14			45 0

Dynamic Time Warping

- Goal: to measure & visualize the distance between time series of joint angles of each stride
- For each joint angle, calculate the distance between each instant in time during one stride and the corresponding time point in every other stride (allows non-linear alignment for time series of different length)
- The cumulative distance between time series of each stride can be visualized using a Heat Map



Dynamic Time Warping - Heat Map





Dynamic Time Warping - Heat Map

Femur depression



Humerus rotation



Femur rotation



Knee angle



Dynamic Time Warping - Heat Map























Take home messages

- Environment clearly impacts the locomotor behavior of Anolis lizards
- The choice of statistical method has significant effect on the information we can extract from this dataset
- Although univariate methods can provide answers that are easily interpretable, more sophisticated and modern multivariate, classification, and time series methods can provide new, valuable insights that could change how biomechanists approach their data analysis



Questions?



website: www.comparativebiomechanics.com email: klfoster@bsu.edu twitter: @KathleenLFoster